





"In fact, the issue is always between two points"

Weekly

Political and Geopolitical

Developments

June 02, 2025





This service is provided to you free of charge by **2blackdot** and **Tema Group**. **These articles are not investment advice**. **Prepared by: Hakan Çalışkantürk 2twoblackdots@gmail.com**https://www.2blackdots.com

*** Legal Notice:*** The investment information, comments and recommendations provided herein are not within the scope of investment consultancy. Investment consultancy services are provided within the framework of an investment consultancy agreement to be signed between brokerage firms, portfolio management companies, investment and development banks and the customer, and by authorized institutions, taking into account the risk and return preferences of individuals. The comments and recommendations provided herein are of a general nature. These comments and recommendations may not be suitable for your financial situation and risk and return preferences. Therefore, making an investment decision based solely on the information provided herein may not yield results that are in line with your expectations. 2blackdot and Hakan Çalışkantürk cannot be held responsible for any errors and omissions in this publication or the sources used in this publication, and for any direct and/or indirect damages, loss of profit, moral damages and any damages that third parties may suffer in any way or form, as a result of using the







Geopolitical and Global Developments:

THE NEW FRONTIER OF THE 21st CENTURY: THE GLOBAL SIGNIFICANCE OF CYBERSECURITY AND CYBER WARS

Prof. Dr. Murat Koç

Abstract

In today's world, a significant dimension of international conflicts and security dynamics has shifted into the digital realm. Cybersecurity has transcended being a purely technical issue and has become a critical component across a wide spectrum—from global economy to national security. This study focuses on the global significance of cyber warfare, particularly emphasizing its economic impacts. Through events such as the 2007 cyberattack on Estonia, the Stuxnet virus (2010), the WannaCry ransomware attack (2017), the SolarWinds supply chain breach (2020), and the ongoing Russia–Ukraine war, the paper examines the economic damages inflicted by cyber warfare and how it disrupts societal life. Furthermore, it explores the rising cyber capabilities of Russia and China and how these powers strategically weaponize their digital infrastructure, along with the resulting economic and political consequences. By analyzing the changing nature of warfare—transitioning from physical frontlines to digital and hybrid warfare—the article outlines the distinctive characteristics of cyber conflicts and forecasts future threats. Concrete examples of cyberattacks targeting critical sectors such as technology, finance, infrastructure, and energy clearly demonstrate the economic effects of cyber warfare. With this comprehensive perspective, the study explains why cyberspace, the "new frontier" of the 21st century, holds a central role in global security and stability.

1. Introduction

With the rapid development of information and communication technologies, the concept of security has gained new dimensions. Particularly in the 21st century, the field of cybersecurity has become a priority on the global security agenda. States, international institutions, and private sector actors are compelled to take measures against potential cyberattacks that may target their critical infrastructure and disrupt economic and social life.

Today, a significant portion of global economic transactions is conducted through digital platforms. In this context, cyber threats not only affect the confidentiality and accessibility of information but also have the potential to paralyze entire economies. While cyberattacks can cause severe damage at the individual level, when executed on a state scale or across transnational networks, they can lead to geopolitical consequences.

This study examines how cyberattacks have evolved from mere security breaches to strategic weapons. By analyzing significant cyber incidents and the reactions of states to them, the aim is to better understand how cyber warfare has become a decisive factor in international politics and economic security.

2. The Strategic Significance of Cybersecurity in Global Security

Cybersecurity has become one of the fundamental components of national defense policies. Especially in recent years, the increase in cyberattacks targeting governments, financial institutions, energy infrastructures, and public services has emphasized the necessity of adopting a strategic perspective on cybersecurity. The scope of national defense, which previously focused on land, sea, air, and space, has now expanded to include cyberspace.

Unlike conventional warfare, cyberattacks can be launched at low cost, anonymously, and without geographic limitation. For this reason, even relatively small or developing states can significantly damage the systems of larger and more developed countries through cyber means. This asymmetrical characteristic has made cyber warfare an attractive option for non-state actors as well.

The strategic value of cyberspace lies in its ability to affect not only military systems but also political stability, economic functionality, and public confidence. A well-timed and targeted cyberattack can disrupt an entire country's financial system, damage its reputation in the international arena, or manipulate public opinion.

For this reason, international organizations such as NATO and the European Union have begun to consider cyberattacks as threats equivalent to armed attacks and have developed doctrines regarding collective defense in the face of such scenarios. In this framework, NATO declared in the 2016 Warsaw Summit that a large-scale cyberattack on a member country could activate Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty.

3. Cyber Warfare as an Economic Weapon

Cyber warfare has become a tool not only of military and political influence but also of direct economic destruction. Cyberattacks targeting financial systems, energy infrastructures, supply chains, and information networks can inflict billions of dollars in damages. These attacks can be aimed at weakening an opponent's economic resilience or disrupting the strategic balance between countries.







One of the clearest indicators of cyber warfare's economic impact is the targeting of critical infrastructure. Especially in sectors such as banking, health, transportation, and energy, digital systems are essential for day-to-day operations. When these systems are attacked or rendered inoperable, economic activity is paralyzed, consumer trust is eroded, and national and international markets are affected.

Cyberattacks can also be used as indirect tools of economic sabotage. For example, stealing intellectual property, manipulating financial data, or disrupting supply chains through digital means can provide a competitive advantage to the attacking side while weakening the target economy.

Another prominent method is ransomware attacks. Through these, attackers encrypt the victim's data and demand ransom payments, often in cryptocurrency. Such attacks have affected thousands of companies and public institutions globally. In addition to financial loss, they often result in reputational damage and loss of customer trust.

Thus, cyber warfare emerges not only as a threat to national security but also as a strategic weapon capable of destabilizing economies. Countries that fail to develop sufficient cybersecurity capacity may become vulnerable to being rendered economically ineffective without a single bullet being fired.

4. Key Case Studies of Cyber-Economic Impact

4.1. Estonia (2007): A Digital Nation Under Attack

In 2007, Estonia, one of Europe's most digitally advanced countries, faced a massive cyberattack. Following political tension with Russia, Estonia's government systems, banks, news portals, and communication infrastructure were subjected to intense distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attacks. As public and private digital services collapsed, both commercial activities and social life were paralyzed. The Estonian case became a milestone in cyber warfare history, leading NATO to establish the Cooperative Cyber Defence Centre of Excellence in Tallinn.

4.2. Stuxnet (2010): Digital Sabotage of Nuclear Infrastructure

Stuxnet, developed jointly by the United States and Israel (as reported in several sources), was a computer worm designed to target Iran's nuclear centrifuges. The malware physically damaged centrifuge systems by manipulating control software, setting a historical precedent for digital sabotage. The economic and strategic cost of this attack for Iran was enormous. Stuxnet demonstrated that cyberattacks could cause physical destruction and delay a country's strategic development.

4.3. WannaCry (2017): Ransomware Across Borders

The WannaCry ransomware attack, allegedly linked to North Korea, affected more than 200,000 systems in 150 countries in just a few days. Hospitals, logistics companies, and government institutions were among the major victims. In the UK, the National Health Service (NHS) was forced to cancel thousands of appointments. Globally, the estimated economic cost exceeded 4 billion USD. The attack revealed how ransomware could cause widespread damage even without physical infrastructure being targeted.

4.4. SolarWinds (2020): Infiltration of Supply Chains and State Institutions

The SolarWinds breach is considered one of the most sophisticated cyber espionage operations in history. Suspected to have been orchestrated by Russian state-linked hackers, the attack infiltrated U.S. federal agencies and major corporations through a compromised update in the Orion software. The scope of the breach affected departments such as Homeland Security, Treasury, and Commerce. Beyond national security, it sparked concerns about the vulnerabilities of global software supply chains.

4.5. Russia-Ukraine Conflict (2022-Present): Cyber as a Battlefield

With the invasion of Ukraine by Russia in 2022, cyber operations became an integral part of conventional military strategy. Ukrainian energy systems, banking networks, and transportation infrastructure were targeted by a series of coordinated cyberattacks. The Microsoft Threat Intelligence Center reported over 200 major cyber incidents in Ukraine within the first six months of the conflict. These attacks not only disrupted public services but also inflicted significant economic costs. This war highlighted the emergence of a hybrid warfare model where missiles and malware are deployed in parallel.

5. Power Shift in Cyberspace: Russia, China, and the U.S.

5.1. Russia's Hybrid Warfare Doctrine

Russia has adopted cyber operations as an integral part of its hybrid warfare doctrine. Cyberattacks targeting political institutions, energy grids, and media platforms are often synchronized with military or diplomatic maneuvers. Russian-backed hacker groups such as APT28 (Fancy Bear) and Sandworm have been accused of launching attacks not only against Ukraine but also against various NATO member states. These operations are intended not only to cause disruption but also to manipulate public perception and weaken international alliances.







Economically, Russia's cyberattacks aim to undermine trust in financial institutions, disrupt logistics networks, and cause long-term harm to opposing economies.

5.2. China's Industrial Espionage and Technological Domination Strategy

China's cyber strategy is largely focused on industrial espionage, intellectual property theft, and technological control. Hacker groups suspected of links to the Chinese People's Liberation Army (e.g., APT10) have been accused of stealing technological data from Western companies and government agencies. These activities are often aimed at accelerating China's industrial development and eliminating its dependency on foreign technology. Unlike Russia's disruptive approach, China tends to use cyber operations as a tool for long-term strategic advantage. The economic consequences of these activities are particularly visible in sectors such as telecommunications, aerospace, and artificial intelligence.

5.3. The United States' Cybersecurity Strategy

The United States, as both a leading technological power and a frequent target of cyberattacks, has taken numerous steps to enhance its cyber defense. The establishment of the U.S. Cyber Command (USCYBERCOM) and the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) reflects the country's commitment to defending its digital borders. In its 2023 National Cybersecurity Strategy, the U.S. emphasized deterrence and resilience, declaring its intention to respond to state-sponsored cyberattacks with both digital and conventional means if necessary. Furthermore, the U.S. plays a leading role in coordinating global cyber norms and engaging in joint cyber exercises with allies such as the UK, Japan, and NATO countries.

The competition among Russia, China, and the U.S. in cyberspace not only reflects differing tactical approaches but also signifies a broader ideological and strategic rivalry. While Russia and China challenge Western dominance through asymmetric methods, the U.S. focuses on developing a robust deterrent capacity and shaping the international rules of digital engagement.

6. The Changing Nature of War and Future Projections

In the 21st century, the nature of war has significantly evolved. Instead of traditional battlefield confrontations, wars are now increasingly fought in digital domains. Cyber warfare has become an indispensable tool in the strategic arsenals of modern states. These new types of wars are not bound by geographic borders, require fewer human resources, and can be launched without prior declaration—making them both unpredictable and difficult to attribute.

In contrast to conventional warfare, where the use of weapons and military power is visible and measurable, cyberattacks often occur without a physical trace. This ambiguity provides attackers with a tactical advantage and makes international response mechanisms more complex. Moreover, because cyberattacks are not immediately lethal, their legal classification and deterrence mechanisms remain underdeveloped.

As new technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), quantum computing, and 5G networks continue to advance, the sophistication and destructive potential of cyber warfare will grow. In particular, AI-powered cyberattacks may autonomously detect and exploit vulnerabilities in real time, while quantum computers may render current encryption systems obsolete.

In the near future, cyber warfare may become a first-strike option in interstate conflicts. Before missiles are launched or troops are mobilized, countries may attempt to disable the enemy's critical infrastructure through digital means. Such attacks could include shutting down electrical grids, paralyzing communication systems, or manipulating financial databases.

Consequently, nations must not only develop defensive cybersecurity capabilities but also rethink the legal and ethical frameworks of war in the digital age. International law must evolve to define the rules of engagement, responsibility, and proportionality in cyber conflicts. Without such regulations, the threat of unregulated digital conflicts will continue to grow.

7. Recommendations for Strengthening Global Cybersecurity

In light of the increasing scope and impact of cyber threats, both national and international measures must be taken to strengthen cybersecurity. The following recommendations aim to provide a roadmap for enhancing global resilience:

7.1. Establishing International Norms and Legal Frameworks

There is an urgent need to define a globally accepted legal framework governing cyber warfare. The development of international treaties that clearly define state responsibility, acceptable behaviors, and proportionality in cyberspace would serve as a deterrent to state and non-state actors alike. These frameworks should also include procedures for cyber conflict resolution and arbitration.

7.2. Enhancing Public-Private Cooperation







Since many critical infrastructures are managed by private companies, collaboration between governments and the private sector is vital. Governments should support cybersecurity investments, offer technical expertise, and establish real-time information-sharing mechanisms. Sector-specific cyber resilience guidelines should also be standardized globally.

7.3. Investing in Cybersecurity Talent and Education

Countries should prioritize the development of a skilled cybersecurity workforce. Universities, technical schools, and certification programs should offer specialized training in cyber defense, ethical hacking, cryptography, and incident response. Public awareness campaigns can also play a critical role in increasing resilience against phishing, misinformation, and digital fraud.

7.4. Developing Offensive Capabilities and Deterrence Strategies

While defensive strategies are essential, developing offensive cyber capabilities serves a deterrent function by increasing the cost of attack for adversaries. However, these capabilities should be governed by transparent rules of engagement and subjected to parliamentary or institutional oversight to prevent abuse.

7.5. Promoting Cyber Diplomacy and Regional Cooperation

Cyber diplomacy should be institutionalized through regional alliances and multilateral forums. Cooperation among countries in intelligence sharing, incident response coordination, and capacity building should be encouraged. Initiatives such as NATO's Cooperative Cyber Defence Centre and the European Union's Cybersecurity Act offer useful models.

By implementing these recommendations, the global community can better prepare for future cyber threats and reduce the potential for large-scale economic and geopolitical disruption.

8. Conclusion

Cybersecurity has become a fundamental dimension of national and international security in today's world. Cyberattacks, which can occur without warning and leave no physical trace, now pose as significant a threat as conventional warfare. Especially when critical sectors such as finance, energy, healthcare, and transportation are targeted, the economic costs can reach billions of dollars, and public trust may suffer irreparable damage.

This study has shown that cyber warfare is no longer a futuristic concept but a present and pressing reality. Through case studies such as Estonia, Stuxnet, WannaCry, SolarWinds, and the Russia–Ukraine conflict, we have seen how cyberattacks can disrupt national economies and destabilize geopolitical balances. Furthermore, the strategies of Russia, China, and the United States have demonstrated that cyber power is now one of the core components of global leadership and competition.

In the future, the nature of war will continue to evolve toward a hybrid structure that blends conventional military power with digital tools. The development of international law, the strengthening of technical infrastructure, and the establishment of global cooperation are indispensable to reducing the risks posed by cyber warfare.

As we enter a new era where cyberspace is the primary battlefield, governments, institutions, and societies must treat cybersecurity as a central pillar of sustainability and resilience. Otherwise, the economic, social, and political consequences of future cyber conflicts could be far more destructive than expected.

References

- Valeriano, B. & Maness, R. (2015). Cyber War versus Cyber Realities: Cyber Conflict in the International System. Oxford University Press
- Kaspersky. (2022). Ransomware WannaCry: All you need to know.
- Wolff, J. (2021). How the NotPetya attack is reshaping cyber insurance. Brookings Institution
- Ratnam, G. (2021). Cleaning up SolarWinds hack may cost as much as \$100 billion. Roll Call
- Tate, R. (2022). Transparent Cyber Deterrence. Joint Force Quarterly, NDU Press
- Booz Allen Hamilton. (2020). Bearing Witness: Uncovering the Logic Behind Russian Military Cyber Operations.
- Booz Allen Hamilton. (2022). China's Cyberattack Strategy Explained.
- Federal Bureau of Investigation. (2019). China: The Risk to Corporate America (Executive Summary).
- The Guardian. (2015). OPM hack: 21 million people's personal information stolen, federal agency says.
- Sia Partners. (2023). Hybrid Warfare: How Cyber Warfare is Transforming International Relations.

Prof.Dr. Murat KOÇ / Çağ University Regional Security Studies Application and Research Center

